

**SECRET**

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Tuesday - 21 October 1969

25X1

1. [REDACTED] Dorothy Fosdick advised that Senator Henry Jackson (D., Wash.) regrets that he will be unable to accept the Director's invitation to address our senior officers in a seminar since he will be in Washington state for a testimonial dinner during that period. I suggested that we approach him again at some time in the near future and Miss Fosdick said she was sure the Senator would like to have another opportunity.

25X1

2. [REDACTED] Met with Bill Woodruff, on the staff of the Senate Appropriations Committee, and brought him up-to-date on the information we have on the Soyuz flights.

25X1

3. [REDACTED] In connection with his query about "the report" mentioned in the Beecher column of 2 October, I advised George Murphy, on the staff of the Joint Committee on Atomic Energy, that I could not respond to his question as he had put it since this was not one report but was a series of papers which were being prepared. (Murphy had asked if we could tell him the status of the report which was being prepared in connection to the SALT talks.)

25X1

4. [REDACTED] Called Wilfred Rommel, Assistant Director for Legislative Reference, Bureau of the Budget, to ask that he give prompt attention to our proposed legislation to increase retirement benefits which was hand carried to his office this morning. See Memo for the Record for details.

25X1

5. [REDACTED] Discussed with Roger Jones, Bureau of the Budget, our proposed Agency retirement legislation and provided him information on its estimated cost over the next two fiscal years. See Memo for Record for details.

**SECRET**

CRC, 9/24/2003

21 October 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Conversation with Mr. Wilfred H. Rommel, Assistant Director for Legislative Reference, Bureau of the Budget, re Agency Retirement Legislation

1. This morning I called Mr. Rommel to say that I would appreciate his giving his earliest possible attention to our proposal for amended Agency retirement legislation which we had just hand carried to his office. I mentioned my earlier conversation with Mr. Roger Jones on the same subject, and told Mr. Rommel that if he had any questions about our proposal we would be delighted to meet with him at any time.

2. He asked if our proposal was merely an adaptation of the provisions of the Daniels/McGee bill and I said this was all that was involved. He said he foresaw no problems but had to check the matter out with one individual before he could give us a reading on the prospects of our proposal. He said if this individual saw no objections he thought the matter could be dealt with very quickly. He said he would let me know the results as soon as possible.

3. Mr. Rommel remarked that State and Defense were submitting comparable proposals.



JOHN M. MAURY  
Legislative Counsel

Distribution:

Original - Bill File  
✓ - BOB File  
1 - Chrono

STAT

21 October 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Conversation with Mr. Roger Jones, Assistant Director,  
Bureau of the Budget, re Agency Retirement Legislation

1. Today I called Mr. Jones to inform him of our interest in expediting Bureau of the Budget approval of our proposed Agency legislation designed to match the retirement benefits provided in the Daniels/McGee bill signed yesterday by the President.

2. Mr. Jones said that he had been about to call me to ask for at least "ball park" figures on how much our proposed legislation would cost the Agency "in budgetary terms" for FY 1970 and FY 1971.

STAT

3. After consulting with Mr. Lester Bush, Director of Finance, I called Mr. Jones and said that the figures in which he was interested

4. In our ensuing conversation Mr. Jones asked about the impact of these increases on the CIA retirement fund. He was told that our actuarial study was just being completed and we hadn't yet identified our normal costs but could say they were apparently more than Civil Service and less than Foreign Service. In response to his question, Mr. Jones was assured that we would not need an appropriation to supplement our retirement fund in order to meet the additional costs for FY 1970 and FY 1971 resulting from our proposed legislation. He was further advised that out-go was not expected to exceed income until approximately 1980.

5. Mr. Jones seemed reassured to find that the amounts involved were small and that the financing of our proposals would not create any immediate problems.

STAT

JOHN M. MAURY  
Legislative Counsel

Distribution:

Original - Subject (Bill File)

✓ - BOB File

1 - Chrono

OLC/JMM:gs(21 October 1969)